

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRACEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| SERIAL NUMBER | FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED APPLICANT | | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-----|---------------------|
| 07/337 9253 | 047.13789 | FERRICA | | ij. | |

POTEMENT POUR EUX 1359 DECETUR: IL 62525

| EXAMINER | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| SHeir's R | | | | | |
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| 335 | 3 | | | | |

DATE MAIL

٦

09/13/69

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

| ĭ. | us ap | pplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on | This action is made final. | | | | |
|---------|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | d statutory period for response to this action is set to expire | | | | | |
| | | THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449 Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 Solution of Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474 | | | | | |
| Part II | | SUMMARY OF ACTION | | | | | |
| 1. | × | Claims | are pending in the application. | | | | |
| | | Of the above, claims | are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | |
| 2. | | Claims | have been cancelled. | | | | |
| 3. | | Claims | are allowed. | | | | |
| 4. | X | Claims 1 -13 | are rejected. | | | | |
| 5. | | Claims | are objected to. | | | | |
| 6. | | Claims are subject to re | striction or election requirement. | | | | |
| 7. | This application has been filed with informal drawings which are acceptable for examination purposes until such time as allowable subject matter is indicated. | | | | | | |
| 8. | | Allowable subject matter having been indicated, formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 9. | | The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on These drawings are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation). | | | | | |
| 10. | | The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner. disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). | | | | | |
| 11. | | The proposed drawing correction, filed | | | | | |
| 12. | | Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has be | en received not been received | | | | |
| | | been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on | | | | | |
| 13. | Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| 14. | | Other | | | | | |

Serial No. 337,253
Art Unit 335

The rissue declaration filed with this application is defective for the following reasons:

- a) It does not make the averments required by 37 1.63(b) that applicants for reissue (1) have reviewed and understand the contents of the specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment specifically referred to in the oath or declaration; (2) believe the named inventor or inventors to be the original and the first inventor or inventors of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought; and (3) acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of the application in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and 1.175(a)(7), such compliance with 37 CFR 1.63 being required by 37 CFR 1.175(a). (See M.P.E.P. 1414);
- b) Applicant does not supply the date on which the discovery of the error occured; and
- c) The declaration is inaccurate in stating that an error was made in failure to appreciate the scope of the invention because amendments made to claims in the original case were tantamount to cancelling the subject matter of a plurality of pieces, and an attempt to recapture this subject matter is not incompliance with U.S.C. 251 (see M.P.E.P. 1412.02).

Claims 1-13 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 37 CFR 1.175.

Randy Shay:bhw (703) 557-3125 09/11/89

MAX HINDENBURG EXAMINER ART UNIT 335

May Herelevery